CF-1284 (1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITON NO. 2944/ 2005,

PETITIONER:- Ku. Shobha Rani Narang D/o Bhanwardas Narang, Aged about 20 years, R/o Village Bharuvadih Khurd, Po Mohrenga Tahsil Tilda, Distt- Raipur (C. G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:-

1) State of Chhattisgarh Through: Secretary, Department of Panchayat & Rural Development, (Mantralaya) DKS, Bhawan Raipur (C. G.)
2) Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Pnachayat, Tilda, Distt- Raipur (C. G.)
3) Ku. Rajani Tara D/o Suryadan Kurrey, R/o Village PuraniBasti, Tilda, Tahsil- Tilda, Distt- Raipur (C. G.)
4) Ku. Laxmi Dhirhe D/o Sarju Prasad R/o Village- Sirve, Tahsil- Tilda, Distt- Raipur (C. G.)
5) Smt. Shailendri W/o Faguaram R/o Village Sinodha, Tahsil-Tilda, Distt- Raipur (C. G.)

P.R. No. 3686/05 Presented by Shri Kinod Kolhe dated 5/7/05

RECEIVED COPY 04.7.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(4)

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA. FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT(S) AND ALSO OTHER ORDER /DIRECTIONS(S) ETC.

(A9)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P. No. 2944/... **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11.07.2005 Mr. S.S. Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Arun Sao, learned Dy. Government Advocate for the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is qualified to be appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III as the petitioner was expecting marks in the interview. According to the petitioner, it appears that he has got no marks in the interview. The petitioner has not produced any document like marks sheet or any other thing issued by the respondents after selection, in support of his contention. This is a case where the selection committee is an expert body and there is no allegation of any malafide, error in procedure or non-application of selection method. It is well settled legal position that in case of an appointment done by an expert committee, this Court is restrained from interfering with the order. In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	

Sarma

SP/FS/2005-20,000